



## Supply and Demand, Surplus and Efficiency

Antitrust Eric E. Johnson ericejohnson.com










## **Example: Windchimes in Wendover on Wednesday**An economy with just eight people and one day:


- Allen, who adores windchimes and would pay \$20 for one.
- Bonnie, who thinks windchimes are okay, and would pay \$15 for one.
- Chuck, finds windchimes uncharming, but he would buy one at \$10 just to have the option of annoying his neighbor, Darla, if Darla's incense burning was annoying him.
- Darla hates windchimes and she would only pay \$5 for one, which she could use for smashing with a hammer to let off steam. If the price were \$2, she'd buy two. For \$1, she'd get up to 5 and smash them all.
- Wendy, loves making wind chimes, and she's good at it. She can churn out two out for \$5 each.
- Xavier likes making things out of metal and clay. It would be worth it for him to make one set of windchimes if it could fetch \$10.
- Yvonne like playing video games and not working. It would only be worth it for her to make one set of windchimes if she got \$15 for it.
- Zendaya is a successful celebrity actor and singer. For it to be worth it to her to make windchimes, she'd have to be paid \$20 each, and even then she'd mostly do if for Instagram value. But she's a fast worker, so she could make four.


What is the efficient number of windchimes for this society to produce?








































































Notice that two things have happened:

- Some of the producer surplus became consumer surplus.
  - This means some consumers came out better than before (more bonus awesomeness).
  - And it means many producers lost out on surplus they would have gotten otherwise.
- Some deals that were made before aren't getting made.
  - So some consumers are disappointed they didn't get to purchase at the higher price they would have been happy to pay.
  - And some producers are disappointed they didn't get to produce and sell at the higher price some consumers would have been happy to pay.



Notice that two things have happened:

- Some of the producer surplus became consumer surplus.
  - This means some consumers came out better than before (more bonus awesomeness?
  - Let's get into this ... they would have gotten And it means otherwise.
- Some deals that were made before aren't getting made.
  - So some consumers are disappointed they didn't get to purchase at the higher price they would have been happy to pay.
  - And some producers are disappointed they didn't get to produce and sell at the higher price some consumers would have been happy to pay.

